Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/608,708	HAMMARLUND ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jeremy S. Cerullo	2111
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Jeremy S. Cerullo</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Aslam Jaffery</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 30 November 2006	Time: <u>1:45 pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 101 rejections of Claims 22, 23, and 25		
Claims discussed: 22, 23, and 25		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		•
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
	<u> </u>	
MARK H. RINEHART		
(Examiner/SPE SIGNATION PATENT EXAMINER (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted the applicant's representative to suggest an Examiner's Amendment to amend Claims 22, 23, and 25 to overcome the rejection under 25 USC 101. After discussing the amendment with the applicant, the applicant's representative agreed to the proposed amendment.